

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/772,287	CHANG, DEUK-HWAN	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas A. Morrison	3653	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Thomas A. Morrison.

 (3) Michael Kondoudis (Reg. No. 42,758).

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 26 May 2006
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

21 and 38

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**KATHY MATECKI**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600**

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted Mr. Kondoudis (applicant's representative) on 5/24/06 to get approval to amend claim 21 for readability and to either amend or cancel claim 38. During the telephone conversation, applicant's representative approved the amendment to claim 21. On 5/26/06 applicant's representative called back and approved cancelation of claim 38. See attached examiner's amendment.